

to the judgement by or on behalf of the defendant. In other words, if the insurance company should make a prepayment to the plaintiff then it wouldn't start or wouldn't have any interest on that prepayment. That is what the amendment does. I ask for the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis is the next speaker, however, we have an amendment to the amendments. This is by Senator Conway. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Conway would move to amend the committee amendments. (Read Conway amendment found on page 687 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Conway.

SENATOR CONWAY: Mr. President, members of the body, I believe Senator Haberman introduced the committee amendments. I rise to support amending those committee amendments that would include as the Clerk just read, to change the effective date for 120 days over, what I believe, was in print, was the 90 days, and to also start the clock at the beginning or the point in time when the date of the summons is served rather than the docket date that was also in that committee amendment. Also in the amendment to the amendments we are requesting that the interest does not accrue on any payment that is made prior to the judgement date or prior to the judgement. So accrual to the interest is only on that portion of the judgement that came after the judgement was established. Those are the committee amend...or the amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis, did you wish to speak to the amendment to the amendment? Senator Vard Johnson, did you wish to speak to Senator Conway's amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Yes. Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I did wish to speak to Senator Conway's amendment to the committee amendment. There is a little bit of confusion that has arisen. I suspect that it occurred because the Chairman of the Banking, Commerce and Insurance Committee was not on the floor at the time that this important bill came up, and the Vice Chairman had to serve. But what has happened, very simply, is that the committee advanced to the floor of the Legislature LB 157, and at the same time